Lecture 6 — **Describing function analysis**

Today's Goal: To be able to

- Derive describing functions for static nonlinearities
- Predict stability and existence of periodic solutions through describing function analysis


Material:

- Chapter 14 in Glad & Ljung
- Chapter 7.2 (pp.280–290) in Khalil
- Lecture notes

Course Outline


Lecture 1-3	Modelling and basic phenomena (linearization, phase plane, limit cycles)
Lecture 2-6	Analysis methods (Lyapunov, circle criterion, describing functions)
Lecture 7-8	Common nonlinearities (Saturation, friction, backlash, quantization)
Lecture 9-13	Design methods (Lyapunov methods, Backstepping, Optimal control)
Lecture 14	Summary


Example: saturated sinusoidals



The "effective gain" (the ratio $\frac{\mathrm{sat}(A\sin\omega t)}{A\sin\omega t}$) varies with the input signal amplitude A.

Motivating Example





$$G(s) = \frac{4}{s(s+1)^2}$$
 and $u = \text{sat}(e)$ gives stable oscillation for $r = 0$.


How can the oscillation be predicted?

Q: What is the amplitude/topvalue of u and y? What is the frequency?

Recall the Nyquist Theorem

Assume G(s) stable, and k is positive gain.

- ▶ The closed-loop system is unstable if the point -1/k is encircled by $G(i\omega)$
- ▶ The closed-loop system is stable if the point -1/k is not encircled by $G(i\omega)$




Motivating Example (cont'd)

Heuristic reasoning:


For what frequency and what amplitude is "the loop gain" $f \cdot G = -1$?

Introduce N(A) as an amplitude dependent approximation of the nonlinearity $f(\cdot)$.



$$y = G(i\omega)u \approx -G(i\omega)N(A)y \quad \Rightarrow \quad G(i\omega) = -\frac{1}{N(A)}$$

Motivating Example (cont'd)



Introduce N(A) as an amplitude dependent gain-approximation of the nonlinearity $f(\cdot)$.

Heuristic reasoning: For what frequency and what amplitude is "the loop gain" $N(A) \cdot G(iw) = -1$?

The intersection of the -1/N(A) and the Nyquist curve $G(i\omega)$ predicts amplitude and frequency.

▶ How do we derive the **describing function** N(A)?

Does the intersection predict a stable oscillation?

- Are the estimated amplitude and frequency accurate?

Fourier Series

Every periodic function u(t) = u(t+T) has a Fourier series expansion

$$u(t) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos n\omega t + b_n \sin n\omega t)$$
$$= \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{a_n^2 + b_n^2} \sin[n\omega t + \arctan(a_n/b_n)]$$

where $\omega = 2\pi/T$ and

$$a_n = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T u(t) \cos n\omega t \, dt$$
 $b_n = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T u(t) \sin n\omega t \, dt$

Note: Sometimes we make the change of variable $t \to \phi/\omega$

The Fourier Coefficients are Optimal

The finite expansion


$$\widehat{u}_k(t) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^k (a_n \cos n\omega t + b_n \sin n\omega t)$$

solves

$$\min_{\left\{\hat{a}_{n},\hat{b}_{n}\right\}_{1\leq n\leq k}}\frac{2}{T}\int_{0}^{T}\left[u(t)-\widehat{u}_{k}(t)\right]^{2}dt \qquad T=\frac{2\pi}{\omega}$$

if $\{a_n, b_n\}$ are the Fourier coefficients.

The Key Idea



Assume $e(t) = A \sin \omega t$ and u(t) periodic. Then

$$u(t) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{a_n^2 + b_n^2} \sin[n\omega t + \arctan(a_n/b_n)]$$

If $|G(in\omega)| \ll |G(i\omega)|$ for $n=2,3,\ldots$ and $a_0=0$, then

$$y(t) \approx |G(i\omega)| \sqrt{a_1^2 + b_1^2} \sin[\omega t + \arctan(a_1/b_1) + \arg G(i\omega)]$$

Find periodic solution by matching coefficients in y = -e.

Definition of Describing Function

The **describing function** of
$$e(t)$$
 N.L. $u(t)$ is

$$N(A,\omega) := \frac{b_1(\omega) + ia_1(\omega)}{A}$$

$$\hat{u}_1(t) = |N(A, \omega)| A \sin[\omega t + \arg N(A, \omega)]$$

can be used instead of u(t) to analyze the system.

Amplitude dependent gain and phase shift!

Idea: "Use the describing function to approximate the part of the signal coming out from the nonlinearity which will survive through the low-pass filtering linear system".

$$e(t) = A \sin \omega t = \operatorname{Im} (Ae^{i\omega t})$$

$$e(t) = u(t)$$

$$u(t) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos n\omega t + b_n \sin n\omega t)$$


$$\underbrace{e(t)}_{N(A,\omega)}\underbrace{u_1(t)} \qquad u_1(t) = a_1 \cos(\omega t) + b_1 \sin(\omega t)$$

$$= \operatorname{Im} (N(A,\omega)Ae^{i\omega t})$$

where the describing function is defined as

$$N(A,\omega) = \frac{b_1(\omega) + ia_1(\omega)}{A} \Longrightarrow U(i\omega) \approx N(A,\omega)E(i\omega)$$


Existence of Limit Cycles




$$y = G(i\omega)u \approx -G(i\omega)N(A)y \quad \Rightarrow \quad G(i\omega) = -\frac{1}{N(A)}$$

The intersections of $G(i\omega)$ and -1/N(A) give ω and A for possible limit cycles.

Describing Function for a Relay






$$a_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(\phi) \cos \phi \, d\phi = 0$$
 $b_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(\phi) \sin \phi \, d\phi = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} H \sin \phi \, d\phi = \frac{4H}{\pi}$

The describing function for a relay is thus $N(A) = \frac{4H}{\pi A}$.


Describing Function for Odd Static Nonlinearities



Assume $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ are odd static nonlinearities (i.e., f(-e)=-f(e)) with describing functions N_f and N_g . Then,

- Im $N_f(A, \omega) = 0$, coeff. $(a_1 \equiv 0)$
- $N_f(A, \omega) = N_f(A)$
- $N_{\alpha f}(A) = \alpha N_f(A)$
- $\bullet \ N_{f+g}(A) = N_f(A) + N_g(A)$


Limit Cycle in Relay Feedback System



$$-3/8 = -1/N(A) = -\pi A/4$$
 \Rightarrow $A = 12/8\pi \approx 0.48$ $G(i\omega) = -3/8$ \Rightarrow $\omega \approx 1.7$, $T = 2\pi/\omega = 3.7$


Limit Cycle in Relay Feedback System (cont'd)


The prediction via the describing function agrees very well with the true oscillations:



G filters out almost all higher-order harmonics.

Describing Function for a Saturation





Let $e(t)=A\sin\omega t=A\sin\phi$. First set H=D. If $A\leq D$ then N(A)=1, if A>D then for $\phi\in(0,\pi)$

$$u(\phi) = \begin{cases} A \sin \phi, & \phi \in (0, \phi_0) \cup (\pi - \phi_0, \pi) \\ D, & \phi \in (\phi_0, \pi - \phi_0) \end{cases}$$

where $\phi_0 = \arcsin D/A$.

Describing Function for a Saturation (cont'd)

$$a_{1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} u(\phi) \cos \phi \, d\phi = 0$$

$$b_{1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} u(\phi) \sin \phi \, d\phi = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} u(\phi) \sin \phi \, d\phi$$

$$= \frac{4A}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\phi_{0}} \sin^{2} \phi \, d\phi + \frac{4D}{\pi} \int_{\phi_{0}}^{\pi/2} \sin \phi \, d\phi$$

$$= \frac{A}{\pi} \left(2\phi_{0} + \sin 2\phi_{0} \right)$$


Describing Function for a Saturation (cont'd)

If H = D

$$N(A) = rac{1}{\pi} \left(2\phi_0 + \sin 2\phi_0
ight), \qquad A \ge D$$

For $H \neq D$ the rule $N_{\alpha f}(A) = \alpha N_f(A)$ gives

$$N(A) = \frac{H}{D\pi} \left(2\phi_0 + \sin 2\phi_0 \right), \qquad A \ge D$$



NOTE: dependance of A shows up in $\phi_0 = \arcsin D/A$

3 minute exercise:


What oscillation amplitude and frequency do the describing function analysis predict for the "Motivating Example"?

Solution: Find ω and A such that $G(i\omega) \cdot N(A) = -1$;

- As N(A) is positive and real valued, find ω s.t. $\arg G(i\omega) = -\pi \Longrightarrow -\frac{\pi}{2} 2\arctan\frac{\omega}{1} = -\pi \Longrightarrow \underline{\omega} = 1.0$ which gives a period time of 6.28 seconds.
- ► $|G(1.0i)| \cdot N(A) = 2 \cdot N(A) = 1 \Longrightarrow N(A) = 0.5$. To find the amnplitude A, either

Alt. 1 Solve (numerically)
$$N(A)=\frac{1}{1\cdot\pi}\bigg(2\phi_0+\sin2\phi_0\bigg)=0.5,$$
 where $\phi_0=\arcsin(1/A)$


Alt. 2 From the diagram of N(A) one can find $\underline{A} \approx 2.47$



The Nyquist Theorem

Assume G(s) stable, and k is positive gain.


- ▶ The closed-loop system is unstable if the point -1/k is encircled by $G(i\omega)$
- ▶ The closed-loop system is stable if the point -1/k is not encircled by $G(i\omega)$



How to Predict Stability of Limit Cycles


Assume G(s) stable. For a given $A = A_0$:

- ▶ A increases if the point $-1/N_f(A_0)$ is encircled by $G(i\omega)$
- A decreases otherwise



A stable limit cycle is predicted


How to Predict Stability of Limit Cycles




An unstable limit cycle is predicted

An intersection with amplitude A_0 is unstable if $A < A_0$ gives decreasing amplitude and $A > A_0$ gives increasing.

Stable Periodic Solution in Relay System






$$G(s) = \frac{(s+10)^2}{(s+1)^3}$$
 with feedback $u = -\operatorname{sgn} y$

gives one stable and one unstable limit cycle. The left most intersection corresponds to the stable one.


Periodic Solutions in Relay System

The relay gain N(A) is higher for small A:





Automatic Tuning of PID Controller

Period and amplitude of relay feedback limit cycle can be used for autotuning.



Describing Function for a dead-zone relay





Let $e(t) = A \sin \omega t = A \sin \phi$. Then for $\phi \in (0, \pi)$

$$u(\phi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \phi \in (0, \phi_0) \\ D, & \phi \in (\phi_0, \pi - \phi_0) \end{cases}$$

where $\phi_0 = \arcsin D/A$ (if $A \ge D$)

Describing Function for a dead-zone relay-cont'd.


$$a_{1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} u(\phi) \cos \phi \, d\phi = 0$$

$$b_{1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} u(\phi) \sin \phi \, d\phi = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{\phi_{0}}^{\pi/2} D \sin \phi \, d\phi$$

$$= \frac{4D}{\pi} \cos \phi_{0} = \frac{4D}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - D^{2}/A^{2}}$$

$$N(A) = \begin{cases} 0, & A < D \\ \frac{4}{\pi A} \sqrt{1 - D^2/A^2}, & A \ge D \end{cases}$$

Plot of Describing Function for dead-zone relay



Notice that $N(A) \approx 1.3/A$ for large amplitudes

Pitfalls

Describing function analysis can give erroneous results.


- DF analysis may predict a limit cycle, even if it does not exist.
- A limit cycle may exist, even if DF analysis does not predict it.
- The predicted amplitude and frequency are only approximations and can be far from the true values.


Example

The control of output power x(t) from a mobile telephone is critical for good performance. One does not want to use too large power since other channels are affected and the battery length is decreased. Information about received power is sent back to the transmitter and is used for power control. A very simple scheme is given by

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= \alpha u(t) \\ u(t) &= -\mathrm{sign} \ y(t-L), \qquad \alpha, \beta > 0 \\ y(t) &= \beta x(t). \end{split}$$

Use describing function analysis to predict possible limit cycle amplitude and period of y. (The signals have been transformed so x=0 corresponds to nominal output power)





The system can be written as a negative feedback loop with

$$G(s) = \frac{e^{-sL}\alpha\beta}{s}$$

and a relay with amplitude 1. The describing function of a relay satisfies $-1/N(A) = -\pi A/4$ hence we are interesting in $G(i\omega)$ on the negative real axis. A stable intersection is given by

$$-\pi = \arg G(i\omega) = -\pi/2 - \omega L$$


which gives $\omega = \pi/(2L)$. This gives

$$\frac{\pi A}{4} = |G(i\omega)| = \frac{\alpha \beta}{\omega} = \frac{2L\alpha\beta}{\pi}$$

and hence $A=8L\alpha\beta/\pi^2$. The period is given by $T=2\pi/\omega=4L$. (More exact analysis gives the true values $A=\alpha\beta L$ and T=4L, so the prediction is quite good.)

Accuracy of Describing Function Analysis

Control loop with friction $F = \operatorname{sgn} y$:




Corresponds to


$$\frac{G}{1+GC} = \frac{s(s-b)}{s^3+2s^2+2s+1} \quad \text{with feedback} \quad u = -\operatorname{sgn} y$$

The oscillation depends on the zero at s = b.

Accuracy of Describing Function Analysis



DF predicts period times and ampl. $(T,A)_{b=4/3}=(11.4,1.00)$ and $(T,A)_{b=1/3}=(17.3,0.23)$



Simulation:

$$(T,A)_{b=4/3} = (12,1.1)$$

$$(T,A)_{b=1/3} = (22,0.28)$$

Accurate results only if y is sinusoidal!

Analysis of Oscillations—A summary

There exist both time-domain and frequency-domain methods to analyze oscillations.

Time-domain:

- Poincaré maps and Lyapunov functions
- Rigorous results but hard to use for large problems

Frequency-domain:

- Describing function analysis
- Approximate results
- Powerful graphical methods

Today's Goal

To be able to

- Derive describing functions for static nonlinearities
- Predict stability and existence of periodic solutions through describing function analysis

Next Lecture

- Saturation and antiwindup compensation
- Lyapunov analysis of phase locked loops
- Friction compensation