Lecture 13 — Nonlinear Control Synthesis Cont'd

Today's Goal: To understand the meaning of the concepts

- Gain scheduling
- Internal model control
- Model predictive control
- Nonlinear observers
- Lie brackets

Material:

- Lecture notes
- Internal model, more info in e.g.,
 - Section 8.4 in [Glad&Ljung]
 - Ch 12.1 in [Khalil]

Gain Scheduling



Example of scheduling variables

- Production rate
- Machine speed
- Mach number and dynamic pressure

Compare structure with adaptive control!

Valve Characteristics



Nonlinear Valve



Results

Without gain scheduling



Results

With gain scheduling



Gain Scheduling

- state dependent controller parameters.
 - K = K(q)
- design controllers for a number of operating points.
 - use the closest controller.

Problems:

- How should you switch between different controllers?
 - Bumpless transfer
- Switching between stabilizing controllers can cause instability.

Outline

- Gain scheduling
- Internal model control
- Model predictive control
- Nonlinear observers
- Lie brackets

Internal Model Control



Feedback from model error $y - \hat{y}$. Design: Choose $\hat{G} \approx G$ and Q stable with $Q \approx G^{-1}$.

Two equivalent diagrams



Example

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{1 + sT_1}$$
$$Q = \frac{1 + sT_1}{1 + \tau s}$$

Choose

Gives the PI controller

$$C = \frac{1+sT_1}{s\tau} = \frac{T_1}{\tau} \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_1s}\right)$$

Internal Model Control Can Give Problems

- Unstable G
- $Q \not\approx G^{-1}$ due to RHP zeros
- Cancellation of process poles may show up in some signals

Internal Model Control with Static Nonlinearity



Include the nonlinearity in the model in the controller. Choose $Q \approx G^{-1}$.

Example (cont'd)



Assume r = 0 and $\hat{G} = G$:

$$u = -Q(y - \widehat{G}v) = -\frac{1 + sT_1}{1 + \tau s}y + \frac{1}{1 + \tau s}v$$

Same as before if $|u| \leq u_{\max}$: Integrating controller. If $|u| > u_{\max}$ then

$$u = -\frac{1+sT_1}{1+\tau s}y \pm \frac{u_{\max}}{1+\tau s}$$

No integration. (A way to implement anti-windup.)

Outline

- Gain scheduling
- Internal model control
- Model predictive control
- Nonlinear observers
- Lie brackets

Model Predictive Control – MPC



- O Derive the future controls u(t + j), j = 0, 1, ..., N 1that give an optimal predicted response.
- 2 Apply the first control u(t).
- Start over from 1 at next sample.

What is Optimal?

Minimize a cost function, V, of inputs and predicted outputs.

$$V = V(U_t, Y_t), \quad U_t = \begin{bmatrix} u(t+N-1) \\ \vdots \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_t = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{y}(t+M|t) \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{y}(t+1|t) \end{bmatrix}$$

V often quadratic

$$V(U_t, Y_t) = Y_t^T Q_y Y_t + U_t^T Q_u U_t$$
(1)

 \implies linear controller

$$u(t) = -L\widehat{x}(t|t)$$

Model Predictive Control

Flexible method

- Many types of models for prediction:
 - state space, input-output, step response, FIR filters
- * MIMO
- * Time delays
- + Can include constraints on input signal and states
- + Can include future reference and disturbance information
- On-line optimization needed
- Stability (and performance) analysis can be complicated

Typical application: Chemical processes with slow sampling (minutes)

A predictor for Linear Systems

Discrete-time model

$$\begin{aligned} x(t+1) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t) + B_v v_1(t) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t) + v_2(t) \end{aligned} \qquad t = 0, 1, \dots$$

Predictor (v unknown)

$$\widehat{x}(t+k+1|t) = A\widehat{x}(t+k|t) + Bu(t+k)$$
$$\widehat{y}(t+k|t) = C\widehat{x}(t+k|t)$$

 $\hat{x}(t|t)$ is predicted by a standard Kalman filter, using outputs up to time *t*, and inputs up to time t - 1.

Future predicted outputs are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}(t+M|t) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{y}(t+1|t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} CA^M \\ \vdots \\ CA \end{bmatrix} \hat{x}(t|t) + \begin{bmatrix} CB & CAB & CA^2B & \dots \\ 0 & CB & CAB & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u(t+M-1) \\ \vdots \\ u(t+N-1) \\ \vdots \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $Y_t = D_x \widehat{x}(t|t) + D_u U_t$

Limitations

Limitations on control signals, states and outputs,

 $|u(t)| \leq C_u \quad |x_i(t)| \leq C_{x_i} \quad |y(t)| \leq C_y,$

leads to linear programming or quadratic optimization. Efficient optimization software exists.

Design Parameters

Model

- M (look on settling time)
- N as long as computational time allows
- If N < M 1 assumption on $u(t + N), \dots, u(t + M 1)$ needed (e.g., = 0, = u(t + N - 1).)
- Q_y , Q_u (trade-offs between control effort etc)
- C_y , C_u limitations often given
- Sampling time

Product: ABB Advant

Example–Motor

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.139 \\ 0 & 0.861 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0.214 \\ 2.786 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Minimize $V(U_t) = ||Y_t - R||$ where $R = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ \vdots \\ r \end{pmatrix},$ r=reference,
 $M = 8, N = 2, u(t+2) = u(t+3) = u(t+7) = \ldots = 0$

Example-Motor

$$Y_t = \begin{pmatrix} CA^8 \\ \vdots \\ CA \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} CA^6B & CA^7B \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & CB \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(t+1) \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= D_x x(t) + D_u U_t$$

Solution without control constraints

$$U_t = -(D_u^T D_u)^{-1} D_u^T D_x x + (D_u^T D_u)^{-1} D_u^T R =$$

= $-\begin{pmatrix} -2.50 & -0.18\\ 2.77 & 0.51 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) - r\\ x_2(t) \end{pmatrix}$

Use

$$u(t) = -2.77(x_1(t) - r) - 0.51x_2(t)$$

Example-Motor-Results

No control constraints in opti- Control constraints $|u(t)| \le 1$ in mization (but in simulation) optimization.



Outline

- Gain scheduling
- Internal model control
- Model predictive control
- Nonlinear observers
- Lie brackets

Nonlinear Observers

What if x is not measurable?

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad y = h(x)$$

Simplest observer (open loop – only works for as. stable systems).

 $\dot{\widehat{x}} = f(\widehat{x}, u)$

Correction, as in linear case,

$$\dot{\widehat{x}} = f(\widehat{x}, u) + K(y - h(\widehat{x}))$$

Choices of K

- Linearize f at x_0 , find K for the linearization
- Linearize f at $\hat{x}(t)$, find K(t) for the linearization

Second case is called Extended Kalman Filter



Control tasks:

- Swing up
- 2 Catch
- Stabilize in upward position

The observer must to be valid for a complete revolution

$$\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} = \sin\theta + u\cos\theta$$
$$x_1 = \theta, x_2 = \frac{d\theta}{dt} \Longrightarrow$$
$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = x_2$$
$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = \sin x_1 + u\cos x_1$$

Observer structure:

$$\frac{d\hat{x}_1}{dt} = \hat{x}_2 + k_1(x_1 - \hat{x}_1) \\ \frac{d\hat{x}_2}{dt} = \sin \hat{x}_1 + u \cos \hat{x}_1 + k_2(x_1 - \hat{x}_1)$$

Introduce the error $\tilde{x} = \hat{x} - x$

v

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{x}_{1}}{dt} = -k_{1}\tilde{x}_{1} + \tilde{x}_{2} \\ \frac{d\tilde{x}_{2}}{dt} = \sin\hat{x}_{1} - \sin x_{1} + u(\cos\hat{x}_{1} - \cos x_{1}) - k_{2}\tilde{x}_{1} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_{1} \\ \tilde{x}_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -k_{1} & 1 \\ -k_{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_{1} \\ \tilde{x}_{2} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} v \\ = 2\sin\frac{\tilde{x}_{1}}{2} \left(\cos\left(x_{1} + \frac{\tilde{x}_{1}}{2}\right) - u\sin\left(x_{1} + \frac{\tilde{x}_{1}}{2}\right)\right) \end{cases}$$



Stability with Small Gain Theorem

The linear block:

$$\begin{split} G(s) &= \frac{1}{s^2 + k_1 s + k_2} \\ |\frac{1}{G(i\omega)}|^2 &= \omega^4 + (k_1^2 - 2k_2)\omega^2 + k_2^2 \\ &= (\omega^2 - k_2 + k_1^2/2)^2 - k_1^4/4 + k_1^2 k_2 \\ \gamma_G &= \max |G(i\omega)| = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_1^2 k_2 - k_1^4/4}}, & \text{if } k_1^2 < 2k_2 \\ \frac{1}{k_2}, & \text{if } k_1^2 \ge 2k_2 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Stability with Small Gain Theorem

$$v = 2\sin\frac{\tilde{x}_1}{2} \left(\cos\left(x_1 + \frac{\tilde{x}_1}{2}\right) - u\sin\left(x_1 + \frac{\tilde{x}_1}{2}\right)\right)$$
$$|v| \le |\tilde{x}_1| \sqrt{1 + u_{max}^2} = \beta |\tilde{x}_1|$$

The observer is stable if $\gamma_G \beta < 1$

$$\implies \qquad k_2 > \begin{cases} \beta^2 k_1^{-2} + k_1^2/4, & \text{if } k_1 < \sqrt{2\beta}, \\ \beta, & \text{if } k_1 \ge \sqrt{2\beta}, \end{cases}$$



Outline

- Gain scheduling
- Internal model control
- Model predictive control
- Nonlinear observers
- Lie brackets

Controllability

Linear case

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

All controllability definitions coincide

0 o x(T),x(0) o 0,x(0) o x(T)T either fixed or free

Rank condition System is controllable iff

$$W_n = \begin{pmatrix} B & AB & \dots & A^{n-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$
 full rank

Is there a corresponding result for nonlinear systems?

Lie Brackets

Lie bracket between f(x) and g(x) is defined by

$$[f,g] = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}f - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}g$$

Example:

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} \cos x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$[f,g] = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}f - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}g$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sin x_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos x_2 + \sin x_2 \\ -x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Why interesting?

 $\dot{x} = g_1(x)u_1 + g_2(x)u_2$

- The motion $(u_1, u_2) = \begin{cases} (1, 0), & t \in [0, \epsilon] \\ (0, 1), & t \in [\epsilon, 2\epsilon] \\ (-1, 0), & t \in [2\epsilon, 3\epsilon] \\ (0, -1), & t \in [3\epsilon, 4\epsilon] \end{cases}$ gives motion $x(4\epsilon) = x(0) + \epsilon^2 [g_1, g_2] + O(\epsilon^3)$ • $\Phi_{[g_1, g_2]}^t = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\Phi_{-g_2}^{\sqrt{\frac{t}{n}}} \Phi_{-g_1}^{\sqrt{\frac{t}{n}}} \Phi_{g_2}^{\sqrt{\frac{t}{n}}} \Phi_{g_1}^{\sqrt{\frac{t}{n}}})^n$
- The system is controllable if the Lie bracket tree has full rank (controllable=the states you can reach from x = 0 at fixed time T contains a ball around x = 0)

The Lie Bracket Tree



Parking Your Car Using Lie-Brackets



Parking the Car

Can the car be moved sideways?

Sideways: in the $(-\sin(\varphi), \cos(\varphi), 0, 0)^T$ -direction?

$$\begin{aligned} \left[g_{1},g_{2}\right] &= \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial x}g_{1} - \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x}g_{2} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sin(\varphi + \theta) & -\sin(\varphi + \theta) \\ 0 & 0 & \cos(\varphi + \theta) & \cos(\varphi + \theta) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos(\theta) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - 0 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\sin(\varphi + \theta) \\ \cos(\varphi + \theta) \\ \cos(\theta) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} =: g_{3} = "wriggle" \end{aligned}$$

Once More

$$[g_3, g_2] = \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x} g_3 - \frac{\partial g_3}{\partial x} g_2 = \dots$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\sin(\varphi) \\ \cos(\varphi) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{"sideways"}$$

The motion $[g_3, g_2]$ takes the car sideways.

 $(-sin(\varphi), \cos(\varphi))$

The Parking Theorem

You can get out of any parking lot that is bigger than your car. Use the following control sequence:

Wriggle, Drive, –Wriggle(this requires a cool head), –Drive (repeat).

Outline

- Gain scheduling
- Internal model control
- Model predictive control
- Nonlinear observers
- Lie brackets
- Extra: Integral quadratic constraints

Integral Quadratic Constraint



The (possibly nonlinear) operator Δ on $\mathbf{L}_2^m[0,\infty)$ is said to satisfy the IQC defined by Π if

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\begin{array}{c} \widehat{v}(i\omega) \\ \widehat{(\Delta v)}(i\omega) \end{array} \right]^* \Pi(i\omega) \left[\begin{array}{c} \widehat{v}(i\omega) \\ \widehat{(\Delta v)}(i\omega) \end{array} \right] d\omega \geq 0$$

for all $v \in \mathbf{L}_2[0,\infty)$.

IQC Stability Theorem



Let G(s) be stable and proper and let Δ be causal.

For all $\tau \in [0, 1]$, suppose the loop is well posed and $\tau \Delta$ satisfies the IQC defined by $\Pi(i\omega)$. If

$$\left[egin{array}{c} G(i\omega) \ I \end{array}
ight]^* \Pi(i\omega) \left[egin{array}{c} G(i\omega) \ I \end{array}
ight] < 0 \quad ext{for } \omega \in [0,\infty] \end{array}$$

then the feedback system is input/output stable.

Δ structure	$\Pi(i\omega)$	Condition
	× × 5/C	
Δ passive		
$\ \Delta(i\omega)\ \leq 1$	$\left[\begin{array}{cc} x(i\omega)I & 0\\ 0 & -x(i\omega)I \end{array}\right]$	$x(i\omega) \ge 0$
$\delta \in [-1,1]$	$\left[egin{array}{cc} X(i\omega) & Y(i\omega) \ Y(i\omega)^* & -X(i\omega) \end{array} ight]$	$\begin{array}{c} X = X^* \geq 0 \\ Y = -Y^* \end{array}$
$\delta(t) \in [-1,1]$	$\left[\begin{array}{cc} X & Y \\ Y^T & -X \end{array}\right]$	
$\Delta(s) = e^{-\theta s} - 1$	$\left[egin{array}{cc} x(i\omega) ho(\omega)^2 & 0 \ 0 & -x(i\omega) \end{array} ight]$	$ ho(\omega) = 2 \max_{ heta \le heta_0} \sin(heta \omega/2)$

A Matlab toolbox for system analysis

http://www.ee.mu.oz.au/staff/cykao/





- >> abst_init_iqc;
- >> G = tf([10 0 0], [1 2 2 1]);
- >> e = signal
- >> w = signal

>>
$$y = -G*(e+w)$$

- >> w==iqc_monotonic(y)
- >> iqc_gain_tbx(e,y)

A servo with friction



An analysis model defined graphically



ż iqc_gui('fricSYSTEM')

extracting information from fricSYSTEM ...

scalar	inputs: 5	
states:	10	
simple	q-forms: 7	
LMI #1	size = 1	states: 0
LMI #2	size = 1	states: 0
LMI #3	size = 1	states: 0
LMI #4	size = 1	states: 0
LMI #5	size = 1	states: 0

Solving with 62 decision variables ...

ans = 4.7139

A library of analysis objects











unknown const

















sector+popov



restrict rate

window

Exp(-ds)-1

cdelav

monotonic with

encaps	ulated	deadzo

polytope

polytope with

restrict rate

sat-int

>	£
odd el	no nonlir













-00-	
واوراه وفوار	

odd slope nonlinearity



The friction example in text format

```
d=signal;
e=signal;
w1=signal;
w2=signal;
u=signal;
v=tf(1,[1 0])*(u-w1)
x=tf(1,[1 0])*v;
e = d - x - w2;
u==10*tf([2 2 1],[0.01 1 0.01])*e;
w1 = iqc monotonic(v, 0, [1 5], 10)
w2==iqc cdelay(x,.01)
iqc gain tbx(d,e)
```

% disturbance signal

- % error signal
- % friction force
- % delay perturbation
- % control force
- % velocity
- % position

Summary

- Gain scheduling
- Internal model control
- Model predictive control
- Nonlinear observers
- Lie brackets
- Extra: Integral quadratic constraints

Next: Lecture 14

