# Lecture 4 — Lyapunov Stability

#### **Material**

- Glad & Ljung Ch. 12.2
- Khalil Ch. 4.1-4.3
- Slotine and Li: Chapter 3 (not 3.5.2-3.5.3)
- Lecture notes

# **Today's Goal**

To be able to

- prove local and global stability of an equilibrium point using Lyapunov's method
- show stability of a set (e.g., an equilibrium, or a limit cycle) using La Salle's invariant set theorem.

# Alexandr Mihailovich Lyapunov (1857–1918)



Master thesis "On the stability of ellipsoidal forms of equilibrium of rotating fluids," St. Petersburg University, 1884.

Doctoral thesis "The general problem of the stability of motion," 1892.

## Main idea

Lyapunov formalized the idea:

If the total energy is dissipated, then the system must be stable.

Main benefit: By looking at how an energy-like function V (a so called *Lyapunov function*) changes over time, we might conclude that a system is stable or asymptotically stable without solving the nonlinear differential equation.

Main question: How to find a Lyapunov function?

# Main idea

Lyapunov formalized the idea:

If the total energy is dissipated, then the system must be stable.

Main benefit: By looking at how an energy-like function V (a so called *Lyapunov function*) changes over time, we might conclude that a system is stable or asymptotically stable without solving the nonlinear differential equation.

Main question: How to find a Lyapunov function?

# **Examples**

Start with a Lyapunov candidate V to measure e.g.,

- "size"<sup>1</sup> of state and/or output error,
- "size" of deviation from true parameters,
- energy difference from desired equilibrium,
- weighted combination of above
- ...

Example of common choice in adaptive control

$$V=rac{1}{2}\left(e^2+\gamma_a\widetilde{a}^2+\gamma_b\widetilde{b}^2
ight)$$

(here weighted sum of output error and parameter errors)

<sup>1</sup>Often a magnitude measure or (squared) norm like  $|e|_2^2, ...$ 

Analysis: Check if V is decreasing with time

• Continuous time:  $\frac{dV}{dt} < 0$ • Discrete time: V(k+1) - V(k) < 0

Synthesis: Choose e.g. control law and/or parameter update law to satisfy  $\dot{V} \leq 0$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV}{dt} &= e\dot{e} + \gamma_a \widetilde{a}\dot{\widetilde{a}} + \gamma_b \widetilde{b}\dot{\widetilde{b}} = \\ &= \widetilde{x}(-a\widetilde{x} - \widetilde{a}\widehat{x} + \widetilde{b}u) + \gamma_a \widetilde{a}\dot{\widetilde{a}} + \gamma_b \widetilde{b}\dot{\widetilde{b}} = \dots \end{aligned}$$


If a is constant and  $\tilde{a} = a - \hat{a}$  then  $\tilde{a} = -\hat{a}$ . Choose update law  $\frac{d\hat{a}}{dt}$  in a "good way" to influence  $\frac{dV}{dt}$ . (more on this later...) Analysis: Check if V is decreasing with time


• Continuous time:  $\frac{dV}{dt} < 0$ • Discrete time: V(k+1) - V(k) < 0

Synthesis: Choose e.g. control law and/or parameter update law to satisfy  $\dot{V} \leq 0$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV}{dt} &= e\dot{e} + \gamma_a \widetilde{a}\dot{\widetilde{a}} + \gamma_b \widetilde{b}\dot{\widetilde{b}} = \\ &= \widetilde{x}(-a\widetilde{x} - \widetilde{a}\widehat{x} + \widetilde{b}u) + \gamma_a \widetilde{a}\dot{\widetilde{a}} + \gamma_b \widetilde{b}\dot{\widetilde{b}} = \dots \end{aligned}$$

If a is constant and  $\tilde{a} = a - \hat{a}$  then  $\dot{\tilde{a}} = -\dot{a}$ . Choose update law  $\frac{d\hat{a}}{dt}$  in a "good way" to influence  $\frac{dV}{dt}$ . (more on this later...)






 $V(x, \dot{x}) = m\dot{x}^2/2 + k_0 x^2/2 + k_1 x^4/4 > 0, \qquad V(0, 0) = 0$ 


 $\frac{d}{dt}V(x,\dot{x}) = m\ddot{x}\dot{x} + k_0x\dot{x} + k_1x^3\dot{x} = \{\text{plug in system dynamics}^2\}$  $= -b|\dot{x}|^3 < 0, \text{ for } \dot{x} \neq 0$ 

What does this mean?



Total energy = kinetic + pot. energy:  $V = \frac{mv^2}{2} + \int_0^x F_{spring} ds \Rightarrow$   $V(x, \dot{x}) = m\dot{x}^2/2 + k_0 x^2/2 + k_1 x^4/4 > 0, \quad V(0, 0) = 0$   $\frac{d}{dt}V(x, \dot{x}) = m\ddot{x}\dot{x} + k_0 x\dot{x} + k_1 x^3 \dot{x} = \{\text{plug in system dynamics}^2\}$  $= -b|\dot{x}|^3 < 0, \text{ for } \dot{x} \neq 0$ 

#### What does this mean?



Total energy = kinetic + pot. energy:  $V = \frac{mv^2}{2} + \int_0^x F_{spring} ds \Rightarrow$   $V(x, \dot{x}) = m\dot{x}^2/2 + k_0 x^2/2 + k_1 x^4/4 > 0, \quad V(0, 0) = 0$   $\frac{d}{dt}V(x, \dot{x}) = m\ddot{x}\dot{x} + k_0 x\dot{x} + k_1 x^3 \dot{x} = \{\text{plug in system dynamics}\,^2\}$  $= -b|\dot{x}|^3 < 0, \text{ for } \dot{x} \neq 0$ 

#### What does this mean?

# **Stability Definitions**

An equilibrium point x = 0 of  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  is

locally stable, if for every R > 0 there exists r > 0, such that

 $||x(0)|| < r \quad \Rightarrow \quad ||x(t)|| < R, \quad t \ge 0$ 

• locally asymptotically stable, if locally stable and

$$||x(0)|| < r \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0$$

 globally asymptotically stable, if asymptotically stable for all x(0) ∈ R<sup>n</sup>.

## Lyapunov Theorem for Local Stability

**Theorem** Let  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ , f(0) = 0 where x = 0 is in the interior of  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ . Assume that  $V : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  function. If

- (1) V(0) = 0
- (2) V(x) > 0, for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $x \neq 0$
- (3)  $\dot{V}(x) \leq 0$  along all trajectories of the system in  $\Omega$

 $\implies$  x = 0 is locally stable.


Furthermore, if also

(4) 
$$\dot{V}(x) < 0$$
 for all  $x \in \Omega, x \neq 0$ 

 $\implies$  x = 0 is locally asymptotically stable.

## Lyapunov Functions (~ Energy Functions)

A function V that fulfills (1)–(3) is called a *Lyapunov function*. Condition (3) means that V is non-increasing along all trajectories in  $\Omega$ :



**Conservation of energy**:  $\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x) = 0$ , i.e., the vector field f(x) is everywhere orthogonal to the normal  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$  to the level surface V(x) = c.

*Example:* Total energy of a lossless mechanical system or total fluid in a closed system.

**Dissipation of energy:**  $\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x) \le 0$ , i.e., the vector field f(x) and the normal  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$  to the level surface  $\{z : V(z) = c\}$  make an obtuse angle (Sw. "trubbig vinkel").

*Example:* Total energy of a mechanical system with damping or total fluid in a system that leaks.


**Conservation of energy**:  $\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x) = 0$ , i.e., the vector field f(x) is everywhere orthogonal to the normal  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$  to the level surface V(x) = c.

*Example:* Total energy of a lossless mechanical system or total fluid in a closed system.

**Dissipation of energy:**  $\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(x) \le 0$ , i.e., the vector field f(x) and the normal  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$  to the level surface  $\{z : V(z) = c\}$  make an obtuse angle (Sw. "trubbig vinkel").

*Example:* Total energy of a mechanical system with damping or total fluid in a system that leaks.

# **Geometric interpretation**



Vector field points into sublevel sets

Trajectories can only go to lower values of V(x)

#### **Boundedness:**

For any trajectory x(t)

$$V(x(t))=V(x(0))+\int_0^t\dot{V}(x( au))d au\leq V(x(0))$$

which means that the whole trajectory lies in the set

$$\{z \mid V(z) \le V(x(0))\}$$


For stability it is thus important that the sublevel sets  $\{z \mid V(z) \le c\}$  bounded  $\forall c \ge 0 \iff V(x) \to \infty$  as  $||x|| \to \infty$ .


# 2 min exercise—Pendulum

Show that the origin is locally stable for a mathematical pendulum.

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2, \quad \dot{x}_2 = -\frac{g}{\ell} \sin x_1$$

Use as a Lyapunov function candidate





#### Example—Pendulum

(1) 
$$V(0) = 0$$
  
(2)  $V(x) > 0$  for  $-2\pi < x_1 < 2\pi$  and  $(x_1, x_2) \neq 0$   
(3)  
 $\dot{V}(x) = \dot{x}_1 \sin x_1 g \ell + \ell^2 x_2 \dot{x}_2 = 0$ , for all  $x$ 

Hence, x = 0 is locally stable.

Note that x = 0 is not asymptotically stable, because  $\dot{V}(x) = 0$ and not < 0 for all  $x \neq 0$ .

# **Positive Definite Matrices**

**Definition:** Symmetric matrix  $M = M^T$  is

- positive definite (M > 0) if  $x^T M x > 0, \forall x \neq 0$
- positive semidefinite  $(M \ge 0)$  if  $x^T M x \ge 0, \forall x$

Lemma:

• 
$$M = M^T > 0 \iff \lambda_i(M) > 0, \forall i$$
  
•  $M = M^T \ge 0 \iff \lambda_i(M) \ge 0, \forall i$ 

$$M = M^T > 0$$
  $V(x) := x^T M x$   
 $\downarrow$   
 $V(0) = 0$ ,  $V(x) > 0$ ,  $\forall x \neq 0$   
 $V(x)$  candidate Lyapunov function

#### More matrix results

• for symmetric matrix  $M = M^T$ 

 $\lambda_{\min}(M) \|x\|^2 \leq x^T M x \leq \lambda_{\max}(M) \|x\|^2, \quad \forall x$ Proof idea: factorize  $M = U \Lambda U^T$ , unitary U (i.e.,  $\|Ux\| = \|x\| \quad \forall x$ ),  $\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ 

• for any matrix M

$$\|Mx\| \leq \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(M^TM)}\|x\|, \quad \forall x$$

## Example- Lyapunov function for linear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4\\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1\\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

Eigenvalues of A :  $\{-1, -3\} \Rightarrow$  (global) asymptotic stability. Find a quadratic Lyapunov function for the system (1):

$$V(x) = x^T P x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = P^T > 0$$

Take any  $Q=Q^T>0$  , say  $Q=I_{2 imes 2}.$  Solve  $A^TP+PA=-Q.$ 

## Example- Lyapunov function for linear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4\\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1\\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

Eigenvalues of A :  $\{-1, -3\} \Rightarrow$  (global) asymptotic stability. Find a quadratic Lyapunov function for the system (1):

$$V(x) = x^T P x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = P^T > 0$$

Take any  $Q = Q^T > 0$ , say  $Q = I_{2 \times 2}$ . Solve  $A^T P + PA = -Q$ .

 $A^T P + P A = -I$ 

$$A^T P + P A = -I$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 4 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} =$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} -2p_{11} & -4p_{12} + 4p_{11} \\ -4p_{12} + 4p_{11} & 8p_{12} - 6p_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(2)

Solving for  $p_{11}$ ,  $p_{12}$  and  $p_{22}$  gives /

$$\begin{array}{c} 2p_{11} = -1 \\ -4p_{12} + 4p_{11} = 0 \\ 8p_{12} - 6p_{22} = -1 \\ \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 5/6 \end{bmatrix} > 0$$

FRTN05 — Lecture 4 Automatic Control LTH, Lund University

$$A^T P + P A = -I$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 4 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2p_{11} & -4p_{12} + 4p_{11} \\ -4p_{12} + 4p_{11} & 8p_{12} - 6p_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(2)

Solving for  $p_{11}$ ,  $p_{12}$  and  $p_{22}$  gives

$$\begin{array}{c} 2p_{11} = -1 \\ -4p_{12} + 4p_{11} = 0 \\ 8p_{12} - 6p_{22} = -1 \\ \Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 5/6 \end{bmatrix} > \end{array}$$

$$A^T P + P A = -I$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 4 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2p_{11} & -4p_{12} + 4p_{11} \\ -4p_{12} + 4p_{11} & 8p_{12} - 6p_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(2)


Solving for  $p_{11}$ ,  $p_{12}$  and  $p_{22}$  gives

$$2p_{11} = -1$$

$$-4p_{12} + 4p_{11} = 0$$

$$8p_{12} - 6p_{22} = -1$$

$$\implies \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 5/6 \end{bmatrix} > 0$$




Phase plot showing that

$$V = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 does NOT work.

FRTN05 — Lecture 4

Automatic Control LTH, Lund University



Phase plot with level curves  $x^T P x = c$  for *P* found in example.

## Lyapunov Stability for Linear Systems

**Linear system:**  $\dot{x} = Ax$ 

Lyapunov equation: Let  $Q = Q^T > 0$ . Solve

$$PA + A^T P = -Q$$

with respect to the symmetric matrix P.

Lyapunov function:  $V(x) = x^T P x$ ,  $\Rightarrow$ 

$$\dot{V}(x) = x^T P \dot{x} + \dot{x}^T P x = x^T (PA + A^T P) x = -x^T Q x < 0$$

**Asymptotic Stability:** If  $P = P^T > 0$ , then the Lyapunov Stability Theorem implies (local=global) asymptotic stability, hence the eigenvalues of *A* must satisfy  $\text{Re }\lambda_k(A) < 0$ ,  $\forall k$ 

#### **Converse Theorem for Linear Systems**

If  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_k(A) < 0 \ \forall k$ , then for every  $Q = Q^T > 0$  there exists  $P = P^T > 0$  such that  $PA + A^T P = -Q$ 

Proof: Choose 
$$P = \int_0^\infty e^{A^T t} Q e^{At} dt$$
. Then  
 $A^T P + PA = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t \left( A^T e^{A^T \tau} Q e^{A\tau} + e^{A^T \tau} Q A e^{A\tau} \right) d\tau$   
 $= \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ e^{A^T \tau} Q e^{A\tau} \right]_0^t$   
 $= -Q$ 

### Interpretation

Assume  $\dot{x} = Ax$ , x(0) = z. Then

$$\int_0^\infty x^T(t)Qx(t)dt = z^T\left(\int_0^\infty e^{A^Tt}Qe^{At}dt\right)z = z^TPz$$

Thus  $V(z) = z^T P z$  is the cost-to-go from z (with no input) and integral quadratic cost function with weighting matrix Q.



#### Lyapunov's Linearization Method

Recall from Lecture 2:

Theorem Consider

 $\dot{x} = f(x)$ 

Assume that f(0) = 0. Linearization

 $\dot{x} = Ax + g(x)$ , ||g(x)|| = o(||x||) as  $x \to 0$ .

(1) Re  $\lambda_k(A) < 0, \forall k \Rightarrow x = 0$  locally asympt. stable (2)  $\exists k : \text{Re}\lambda_k(A) > 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$  unstable

# Proof of (1) in Lyapunov's Linearization Method

Put 
$$V(x) := x^T P x$$
. Then,  $V(0) = 0$ ,  $V(x) > 0 \ \forall x \neq 0$ , and  
 $\dot{V}(x) = x^T P f(x) + f^T(x) P x$   
 $= x^T P [Ax + g(x)] + [x^T A^T + g^T(x)] P x$   
 $= x^T (PA + A^T P) x + 2x^T P g(x) = -x^T Q x + 2x^T P g(x)$   
 $x^T Q x \ge \lambda_{\min}(Q) ||x||^2$   
and for all  $\gamma > 0$  there exists  $r > 0$  such that  
 $||g(x)|| < \gamma ||x||$ ,  $\forall ||x|| < r$ 

Thus, choosing  $\gamma$  sufficiently small gives

$$\dot{V}(x) \leq -ig(\lambda_{\min}(Q) - 2\gamma\lambda_{\max}(P)ig)\|x\|^2 < 0$$

**Theorem** Let  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  and f(0) = 0. If there exists a  $C^1$  function  $V : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$  such that


(1) 
$$V(0) = 0$$
  
(2)  $V(x) > 0$ , for all  $x \neq 0$   
(3)  $\dot{V}(x) < 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$   
(4)  $V(x) \to \infty$  as  $||x|| \to \infty$ 

then x = 0 is globally asymptotically stable.

# **Radial Unboundedness is Necessary**

If the condition  $V(x) \to \infty$  as  $||x|| \to \infty$  is not fulfilled, then global stability cannot be guaranteed.

**Example** Assume  $V(x) = x_1^2/(1 + x_1^2) + x_2^2$  is a Lyapunov function for a system. Can have  $||x|| \to \infty$  even if  $\dot{V}(x) < 0$ .



Example [Khalil]:

$$\dot{x}_1 = \frac{-6x_1}{(1+x_1^2)^2} + 2x_2$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = \frac{-2(x_1+x_2)}{(1+x_1^2)^2}$$

#### **Somewhat Stronger Assumptions**

**Theorem:** Let  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  and f(0) = 0. If there exists a  $C^1$  function  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

(1) 
$$V(0) = 0$$
  
(2)  $V(x) > 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$   
(3)  $\dot{V}(x) \le -\alpha V(x)$  for all  $x$   
(4)  $V(x) \to \infty$  as  $||x|| \to \infty$ 

then x = 0 is globally **exponentially** stable.

## **Proof Idea**

Assume  $x(t) \neq 0$  (otherwise we have  $x(\tau) = 0$  for all  $\tau > t$ ). Then

$$\frac{V(x)}{V(x)} \le -\alpha$$

Integrating from 0 to t gives

 $\log V(x(t)) - \log V(x(0)) \le -\alpha t \implies V(x(t)) \le e^{-\alpha t} V(x(0))$ 

Hence,  $V(x(t)) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty$ .


Using the properties of V it follows that  $x(t) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty$ .

### **Invariant Sets**

**Definition:** A set *M* is called **invariant** if for the system


 $\dot{x}=f(x),$ 

 $x(0) \in M$  implies that  $x(t) \in M$  for all  $t \ge 0$ .



### LaSalle's Invariant Set Theorem

**Theorem** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  compact invariant set for  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ . Let  $V : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  function such that  $\dot{V}(x) \leq 0, \forall x \in \Omega$ ,  $E := \{x \in \Omega : \dot{V}(x) = 0\}, M :=$ largest invariant subset of E $\implies \forall x(0) \in \Omega, x(t)$  approaches M as  $t \to +\infty$ 



Note that V must **not** be a positive definite function in this case.

# Special Case: Global Stability of Equilibrium

**Theorem:** Let  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  and f(0) = 0. If there exists a  $C^1$  function  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

(1) 
$$V(0) = 0, V(x) > 0$$
 for all  $x \neq 0$ 

(2) 
$$\dot{V}(x) \le 0$$
 for all x

(3) 
$$V(x) \to \infty$$
 as  $||x|| \to \infty$ 

(4) The only solution of  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ ,  $\dot{V}(x) = 0$  is  $x(t) = 0 \forall t$ 

$$\Rightarrow$$
  $x = 0$  is globally asymptotically stable.

## A Motivating Example (cont'd)

$$\begin{split} m\ddot{x} &= -b\dot{x}|\dot{x}| - k_0 x - k_1 x^3 \\ V(x) &= (2m\dot{x}^2 + 2k_0 x^2 + k_1 x^4)/4 > 0, \qquad V(0,0) = 0 \\ \dot{V}(x) &= -b|\dot{x}|^3 \end{split}$$

Assume that there is a trajectory with  $\dot{x}(t) = 0$ ,  $x(t) \neq 0$ . Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\dot{x}(t) = -\frac{k_0}{m}x(t) - \frac{k_1}{m}x^3(t) \neq 0,$$

which means that  $\dot{x}(t)$  can not stay constant.

Hence,  $\dot{V}(x) = 0 \iff x(t) \equiv 0$ , and LaSalle's theorem gives global asymptotic stability.

### Example—Stable Limit Cycle

Show that  $M = \{x : ||x|| = 1\}$  is a asymptotically stable limit cycle for (almost globally, except for starting at x=0):

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}_1 &= x_1 - x_2 - x_1(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_1 + x_2 - x_2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \end{split}$$
  
Let  $V(x) &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1)^2.$   
$$\frac{dV}{dt} &= 2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1)\frac{d}{dt}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1) \\ &= -2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1)^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \le 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \end{split}$$

 $\Omega = \{0 < ||x|| \le R\}$  is invariant for R = 1.


### Example—Stable Limit Cycle

$$E = \{x \in \Omega : \dot{V}(x) = 0\} = \{x : ||x|| = 1\}$$

M = E is an invariant set, because

$$\frac{d}{dt}V = -2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1)(x_1^2 + x_2^2) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in M$$

We have shown that *M* is a asymtotically stable limit cycle (globally stable in  $R - \{0\}$ )



## A Motivating Example (revisited)


$$\begin{split} m\ddot{x} &= -b\dot{x}|\dot{x}| - k_0 x - k_1 x^3 \\ V(x,\dot{x}) &= (2m\dot{x}^2 + 2k_0 x^2 + k_1 x^4)/4 > 0, \qquad V(0,0) = 0 \\ \dot{V}(x,\dot{x}) &= -b|\dot{x}|^3 \text{ gives } E = \{(x,\dot{x}) : \dot{x} = 0\}. \\ \end{split}$$
Assume there exists  $(\bar{x},\dot{x}) \in M$  such that  $\bar{x}(t_0) \neq 0$ . Then

$$m\ddot{x}(t_0) = -k_0\bar{x}(t_0) - k_1\bar{x}^3(t_0) \neq 0$$

so  $\dot{\bar{x}}(t_0+) \neq 0$  so the trajectory will immediately leave *M*. A contradiction to that *M* is invariant.

Hence,  $M = \{(0,0)\}$  so the origin is asymptotically stable.

# Adaptive Noise Cancellation by Lyapunov Design



Introduce  $\tilde{x} = x - \hat{x}$ ,  $\tilde{a} = a - \hat{a}$ ,  $\tilde{b} = b - \hat{b}$ .

Want to design adaptation law so that  $\tilde{x} \rightarrow 0$ 

Let us try the Lyapunov function

$$V = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{x}^2 + \gamma_a \tilde{a}^2 + \gamma_b \tilde{b}^2)$$
  
$$\dot{V} = \tilde{x}\dot{\tilde{x}} + \gamma_a \tilde{a}\dot{\tilde{a}} + \gamma_b \tilde{b}\dot{\tilde{b}} =$$
  
$$= \tilde{x}(-a\tilde{x} - \tilde{a}\hat{x} + \tilde{b}u) + \gamma_a \tilde{a}\dot{\tilde{a}} + \gamma_b \tilde{b}\dot{\tilde{b}} = -a\tilde{x}^2$$

where the last equality follows if we choose

$$\dot{\tilde{a}} = -\dot{\tilde{a}} = \frac{1}{\gamma_a} \tilde{x} \hat{x}$$
  $\dot{\tilde{b}} = -\dot{\tilde{b}} = -\frac{1}{\gamma_b} \tilde{x} \hat{u}$ 


Invariant set:  $\tilde{x} = 0$ .

This proves that  $\tilde{x} \to 0$ .

(The parameters  $\tilde{a}$  and b do not necessarily converge:  $u \equiv 0$ .)


Demonstration if time permits

#### **Results**



Estimation of parameters starts at t=10 s.

### **Results**



### **Next Lecture**

#### Stability analysis using input-output (frequency) methods



#### http://www.math.spbu.ru/NDA2007/en/

International Congress "Nonlinear Dynamical Analysis -2007". I...

http://www.math.spbu.ru/NDA2007/en/

#### **Russian Version**

#### International Congress "Nonlinear Dynamical Analysis - 2007"

dedicated to the 150th anniversary of Academician A.M.Lyapunov



2007 June 4-8 Russian Academy of Sciences Saint-Petersburg State University, Russia

#### Second Announcement

Preliminary registration form

Application for participation & Abstract Requirements

#### Registration fee

Congress Service: accomodation, visa support, transfer, cultural programme

#### Scientific Commitee of the Congress

chair: academician Yu.S.Osipov - (Moscow) <u>vice-chairs</u>: prof. A.A.Fursenko - (Moscow), academician V.G Peshekhonov - (St.-Petersburg), prof. L.A.Verbitskava - (St.-Petersburg)

#### Members of the Scientific Committee

academician N.A Anfimov (Moscow) prof. P. Borne (France) academician V.V. Kozlov (Moscow) academician N.N. Krasovsky (Yekaterinburg) prof. V. Lakshmikantham (USA) prof. G.A.Leonov (SL-Petersburg) prof. S.N.Mazurenko (Moscow), academician V.M.Matrosov (Moscow) prof. H. Mijagi (Japan) academician N.F.Morozov (St.-Petersburg academician V.V.Rumyantsev (Moscow) prof. E.A.Tropp (St.-Petersburg) academician V.Ye. Fortov (Moscow), academician K.V.Frolov (Moscow),

#### International Program Committee (IPC)

Co-chairs: V.Lakshmikantham (USA), V.M.Matrosov (Russia). Vice-chair: V. A. Pliss (Russia).

#### Members:

N.V.Azbelev (Russia), A.A.Ashimov (Kazakhstan), V V.Beletsky (Russia), P.Borne (France), S.N.Vassiljev, V.G.Veretenikov (Russia), V.Vujičic (Yugoslavia), A.V.Karapetjan, D.M.Kilmov, V.V.Kozlov, R.I.Kozlov, V.B.Kolmanovsky (Russia), V.I.Korobov, V.A.Kuntsevich (Ukraine),

#### FRTN05 — Lecture 4 Automatic Control LTH, Lund University