Peformance and profiling in Julia

Fredrik Bagge Carlson¹

¹Dept. Automatic Control, Lund Institute of Technology Lund University

Outline

- Write code with performance in mind
- Profile your code
- Optimize your code

A global variable might have its value, and therefore its type, change at any point. This makes it difficult for the compiler to optimize code using global variables.

Any code that is performance critical or being benchmarked should be inside a function.

1

Avoid global variables (unless declared const)

```
PRINT = false const PR
function foo() function
for i = 1:1_000_000_000 for i =
if PRINT if PI
print(i) pr
end end
end end
0time foo() 0.795711 seconds 0.00000
```

const PRINT = false
function foo()
for i = 1:1_000_000_000
if PRINT
 print(i)
 end
end
end
@time foo()
 0.000002_seconds

PRINT is false in both cases, but the compiler can rely on it in the second case

Type declarations, type stability

Useful as assertion for debugging, but does not make the code faster.

Exception: Declare specific types for fields of composite types

type <mark>Foo</mark>	type Foo
field	field::Type
end	endZ

It is in general bad for performance to change the type of a variable, type annotation will prevent this.

Type stability

An example of type instability

```
function foo()
    a = 1 # Int64
    for i = 1:100_000_000
        a += i/(i+1)
    end
    а
end
                              end
@time foo()
  2.167254 seconds
  (200.00 M allocations:
  2.980 GB, 22.27% gc time)
```

```
function bar()
    a = 1.0 # Float64
    for i = 1:100_000_000
        a += i/(i+1)
    end
    a
end
@time bar()
    0.715188 seconds
    (5 allocations: 176 bytes)
```

Julia uses column major convention

```
function foo()
   x = Array(Float64,
              (10_{000}, 10_{000}))
   for i = 1:size(x,1)
      for j = 1:size(x,2)
         x[i,j] = i*j
      end
   end
end
                                end
@time foo()
  3.448774 seconds
```

function bar() x = Array(Float64, $(10 \ 000, 10 \ 000))$ for j = 1:size(x,2)for i = 1:size(x, 1)x[i,j] = i*jend end @time bar() 0.300085 seconds

Think about this when you are choosing how to store your data!

Avoid unnecessary memory allocation

Julia passes arrays as references. Use this to re-use already allocated memory.

```
function food()
    A = Array(Int64, (100, 100))
    for i = eachindex(A)
        A[i] = i
    end
    return A
end
function eat()
    for i = 1:10_000
        chicken = food()
        sum(chicken)
    end
end
@time eat()
 0.297590 seconds
(30.00 k allocations: 763.855 MB, 33.59% gc time)
```

New plate every time, lots of time to clean! (garbage collect)

```
function drink()
weiss = Array(Int64,(100,100))
for i = 1:10_000
beer!(weiss)
sum(weiss)
end
```

```
end
```

```
@time drink()
    0.060649 seconds
(7 allocations: 78.375 KB)
```

Use the same glass every time, drink more beer!

Profile your code



Profiling

Your goto-tool is always @time, watch memory allocation and GC-time

- Type instability
- Allocations

Profiling

```
Julia has built in profiling capabilities
julia> Oprofile foo()
julia> Profile.print()
      23 client.jl; start; line: 373
        23 client.jl; run_repl; line: 166
           23 client.jl; eval_user_input; line: 91
              23 profile.jl; anonymous; line: 14
                 8 none; myfunc; line: 2
                  8 dSFMT.jl; dsfmt_gv_fill_array_close_open!; line: 12
                 15 none; myfunc; line: 3
                  2 reduce.jl; max; line: 35
                  2 reduce.jl; max; line: 36
                  11 reduce.jl; max; line: 37
```

ProfileView

ProfileView package is nicer

using ProfileView
@profile foo()
ProfileView.view()



Figure: Image shamelessly borrowed from Tim Holy https://github.com/timholy/ProfileView.jl

Profiling tools

julia --help

--code-coverage=none|user|all Count executions of source lines (omitting setting is equivalent to "user")

--track-allocation=none|user|all Count bytes allocated by each source line

TypeCheck.jl

Optimize your code

Optimization

Optimize your code

Use the result of Oprofile, Otime, track-allocation=user, code-coverage=user

 If your code spends 50% doing garbage collection, you can reduce your running time with up to 50% by better memory management.

Devectorize

function foo(A)
 log(sum(exp(A)))
end

using Devectorize
function bar(A)
 @devec ret = log(sum(exp(A)))
 ret
end

```
function test(f::Function)
A = ones(1_000_000)
for i = 1:1000
f(A)
end
end
@time test(foo) 6.249262 seconds
```

```
Otime test(bar) 2.714373 seconds
```

Performance enhancing start-up arguments

julia --help

--check-bounds=yes|no Emit bounds checks always or never (ignoring declarations)

--math-mode=ieee|fast Disallow or enable unsafe
floating point optimizations (overrides @fastmath
declaration)

@inbounds

@fastmath

Julia startup arguments

julia -math-mode=fast

Type instability revisited

```
function foo()
                                    function bar()
    a = 1 # Int64
                                         a = 1.0 # Float64
    for i = 1:100_000_000
                                         for i = 1:100_000_000
        a += i/(i+1)
                                             a += i/(i+1)
    end
                                         end
    а
                                         а
end
                                    end
@time foo()
                                    @time bar()
2.1672 sec # Without fastmath
                                    0.7151 sec # Without fastmath
1.8540 sec # With fastmath
                                    0.1911 sec # With fastmath
```

Warning: floating point operations are reordered and numerically unstable algorithms might fail

Benchmarking

- Put your code in functions
- Let the function compile before timing
- Do not put function definitions and test code in same file (unless precompilation is done before timing)
- Watch out for unexpected memory allocation.
- Read the performance tips!

Homework

Monte-Carlo simulation of a bootstrap particle filter

- I provide the baseline code
- My code provides a descent particle filter implementation
- The code is bad from a julia-performance point of view
- Your job is to optimize it
- Optimized code has to be equivalent (do not provide another implementation of a particle filter)

$$\begin{aligned} x^{+} &= 0.5x + \frac{25x}{1+x^{2}} + 8\cos(1.2(t-1)) + w \\ y &= 0.05x^{2} + v \\ w, v &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{w}), \ \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{v}) \quad E(wv^{\intercal}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The particle filter

```
for t = 2:T # Main loop
    # Resample
    j = resample(w[t-1,:]')
    # Time update
    xp[t,:] = f(xpT,t-1) + sw*randn(1,N)
    # Measurement update
    w[t,:] = wT + g(y[t]-0.05xp[t,:].^2)
    # Normalize weights
    offset = maximum(w[t,:])
    normConstant = log(sum(exp(w[t,:]-offset)))+offset
    w[t,:] -= normConstant
end
```

The Monte-Carlo simulation

```
particle_count = [5 10 20 50 100 200 500 1000 10_000]
time_steps = [20, 50, 100, 200]
for (Ti,T) in enumerate(time_steps)
  for (Ni, N) in enumerate(particle_count)
    # Calculate how many Monte-Carlo runs to perform for the current
    # T,N configuration
    montecarlo runs =
        maximum(particle_count)*maximum(time_steps) / T / N
    for mc_iter = 1:montecarlo_runs
     for t = 1:T-1 # Simulate one realization of the model
        x[t+1] = f(x[t],t) + sv*randn()
        y[t+1] = 0.05x[t+1]^2 + sv*randn()
      end \# t
     xh = pf(y, N, g, f, sw0) # Run the particle filter
     RMS += rms(x-xh) # Store the error
    end # MC
```