

| Mo | April | 8 | at | 1315-1430 | lecture | | |
|---------------------|-------|----|----|-----------|----------|----------------------|-----------|
| Mo | April | 15 | at | 1315-1600 | lecture | and | exercises |
| Fr | April | 26 | at | 0815-1100 | lecture | and | exercises |
| We | May | 8 | at | 0915-1200 | lecture | and | exercises |
| We | May | 15 | at | 0915-1200 | lecture | and | exercises |
| We | May | 22 | at | 0915-1000 | lecture | | |
| We | Mav | 29 | at | 0915-1200 | exercise | es | |

A Course of Six Lectures

- 1. Introduction Fixed modes, Team theory, Witsenhausen's counterexample
- 2. Partial nestedness and quadratic invariance Control with information delays Example: Tele-operation
- Dual decomposition The saddle algorithm Example: The Internet protocol
- Distributed MPC Example: Water Supply Network
- 5. Distributed control of positive systems. Consensus algorithms
- 6. Spatially invariant systems.

Spatially invariant systems

Spatially invariant systems

- Separation of spatial frequencies
- Approximation by spatial truncation

Lecture 6

Example 1 — String of vehicles

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x,t) = [A\psi](x,t) + [B\psi](x,t)$$
$$y(x,t) = [C\psi](x,t) + [D\psi](x,t)$$

The variable $x = (x_1, ..., x_d)$ is called the spatial variable. The components x_k could be integers, real numbers or, more generally, elements of a locally compact abelian group.

The operators A, B, C, D are assumed to be translation invariant, e.g. $AT_x = T_x A$ for every translation T_x .

Example 2 — Spring connected bodies

In an infinite string of bodies connected by springs, let p_i be the position of body i, which is subject to a control force u_i and a disturbance w_i . Then

$$\frac{d^2 p_i}{dt^2}(t) = \frac{1}{2} [p_{i+1}(t) + p_{i-1}(t) - 2p_i(t)] + u_i(t) + w_i(t)$$
$$i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Here the "spatial" variable *i* belongs to the set of integers.

In an infinite string of vehicles, let p_i be the position of vehicle i relative to vehicle i - 1. Let u_i and w_i be control force and disturbance acting on vehicle i. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 p_i}{dt^2}(t) &= u_i(t) - u_{i-1}(t) + w_i(t) - w_{i-1}(t) \\ i &= 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Here the "spatial" variable *i* belongs to the set of integers.

Example 3

Consider the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_2 + 2\psi_4 \\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_3 \\ \psi_4 + 2\psi_2 \\ \psi_3 + 2\psi_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

The system can also be written as

$$\psi_{(i,j)} = \psi_{(i+1,j)} + 2\psi_{(i+1,j+1)}$$

where $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ and addition is taken modulo 2. Here the spatial variables are *i* and *j*.

Separation of spatial frequencies

Fourier transform in the spatial dimension gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widehat{\psi}(\lambda,t) = \widehat{A}(\lambda)\widehat{\psi}(\lambda,t) + \widehat{B}(\lambda)\widehat{u}(\lambda,t)$$
$$\gamma(\lambda,t) = \widehat{C}(\lambda)\widehat{\psi}(\lambda,t) + \widehat{D}(\lambda)\widehat{u}(\lambda,t)$$

- Control synthesis can
- Separation of spatial frequencies

Spatially invariant systems

o

• Approximation by spatial truncation

Control synthesis can be done independently for different values of the "spatial frequency" $\lambda.$ This gives

$$\widehat{u}(\lambda,t) = -\widehat{K}(\lambda)\widehat{\psi}(\lambda,t)$$

Transforming back from frequency domain gives

$$u(t) = -(K * \psi)(t)$$

where the convolution kernal K is obtained from \widehat{K} by inverse Fourier transform.

Discrete Fourier Transform

The discrete Fourier transform for *N* states is given by the state transformation matrix $[F]_{kl} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}e^{i2\pi kl}$ where $k, l = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

In particular, for N = 2

$$F = F^{-1} = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Lecture 6

- Spatially invariant systems
- Separation of spatial frequencies
- Approximation by spatial truncation

Summary

- Spatial invariance is inherited by optimal controllers
- Distributed controllers can be obtained by spatial truncation

Example 2 — Spring connected bodies

$$\frac{d^2 p_i}{dt^2}(t) = \frac{1}{2} [p_{i+1}(t) + p_{i-1}(t) - 2p_i(t)] + u_i(t) + w_i(t)$$
$$\frac{d^2 \hat{p}}{dt^2}(t) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (\lambda + \lambda^{-1} - 2)}_{\alpha_{\lambda}} \hat{p}(\lambda, t) + \hat{u}(\lambda, t) + \hat{w}(\lambda, t)$$

With $\psi = (p, \frac{dp}{dt})$ we get $\frac{d}{dt}\widehat{\psi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ \alpha_{\lambda} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \widehat{\psi} + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (\widehat{u} + \widehat{w}).$

The cost $\sum_i \int_0^\infty [p_i(t)^2 + (\frac{dp_i}{dt}(t))^2 + u_i(t)^2]dt$ is minimized by $\hat{u}(t) = -(K * \psi)(t)$ where $\hat{K}(\lambda) = [\hat{P}_{12} \quad \hat{P}_{22}]$ and

$$\widehat{P}(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{P}_{11} & \widehat{P}_{12} \\ \widehat{P}_{12} & \widehat{P}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } \begin{cases} \widehat{P}_{12}(\lambda) = \alpha_{\lambda} + \sqrt{\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}} + 1 \\ \widehat{P}_{22}(\lambda) = \sqrt{2\widehat{P}_{12}} + 1 \\ \widehat{P}_{11}(\lambda) = (\widehat{P}_{12} - \alpha_{\lambda})\widehat{P}_{22} \end{cases}$$

Example 3

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\psi}_1 \\ \dot{\psi}_2 \\ \dot{\psi}_3 \\ \dot{\psi}_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_2 + 2\psi_4 \\ \psi_1 + 2\psi_3 \\ \psi_4 + 2\psi_2 \\ \psi_3 + 2\psi_1 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

The two spatial dimensions given by the permutations

 $(\psi_1,\psi_2,\psi_3,\psi_4) \rightarrow (\psi_2,\psi_1,\psi_4,\psi_3) \rightarrow (\psi_1,\psi_2,\psi_3,\psi_4)$

$$(\psi_1,\psi_2,\psi_3,\psi_4) \rightarrow (\psi_3,\psi_4,\psi_1,\psi_2) \rightarrow (\psi_1,\psi_2,\psi_3,\psi_4)$$

have corresponding state transformation matrices

| | [1 | 1 | 0 | 0] | ٢J | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|--------------------------|--------|----|---|-----|---------------------------|---|---|---------|---------|
| _c 1 | 1 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | <i>m</i> 1 |) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | | 0 | 1 | 1 | $T = \overline{\sqrt{2}}$ | 1 | 0 | $^{-1}$ | 0 |
| | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | · [c |) | 1 | 0 | $^{-1}$ |

which diagonalize $TSAS^{-1}T^{-1} = \text{diag}\{3, -3, -1, 1\}$. Design controllers for each state separately and transform back!

Localization of Controller

Control synthesis done independently for different λ gives

$$\widehat{u}(\lambda,t) = -\widehat{K}(\lambda)\widehat{\psi}(\lambda,t)$$

Transforming back from frequency domain gives

$$u(t) = -(K * \psi)(t)$$

Interestingly optimal controllers have a natural degree of decentralization, reflected in exponential decay of the convolution kernal. In particular, when the spatial coordinate is an integer, analytic extension of $\widehat{K}(\lambda)$ outside the unit circle to $R^{-1} \leq |\lambda| \leq R$ implies that K(n) decays exponentially as $R^{-|n|}$.

Hence, a distributed controller can be obtained by truncation.